



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,023	10/06/2000	Joseph B. Rowlands	5580-00300	2300

7590

07/30/2003

Lawrence J. Merkel
Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767-0398

EXAMINER

HUYNH, KIM T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2189

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

21

Office Action Summary	Applicati n No. 09/684,023	Applicant(s) ROWLANDS ET AL.	
	Examiner Kim T. Huynh	Art Unit 2189	

-- The MAILING DATE f this communicati n app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disp sition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____ .
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5 & 6</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang (US Patent 6,467,002)

As per claims 1, 9, 22, 24, Yang discloses a first agent configured for coupling to a bus to which a plurality of agents are capable of being coupled, said first agent comprising an arbiter(fig. 1a, 101) coupled to receive a plurality of request signals (col.4, lines 26-44, lines 55-63) each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of said plurality of agents, (col.5, lines 1-37) wherein each of said plurality of request signals is indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus (col.6, lines 19-65) and wherein said arbiter is coupled to receive an agent identifier transmitted on said bus as part of a transaction, said agent identifier identifying a second agent using said bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to determine if said first agent wins an arbitration for said bus request signals and said agent identifier. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 17, Yang discloses method comprising:

- maintaining a state indicative of (i) which of said plurality of agents are higher priority than said first agent are higher priority than said first agent for said arbitration;(col.5, lines 15-37), (ii) which of said plurality of agents are lower priority than said first agent for said arbitration. (col.7, lines 31-44)
- receiving an agent identifier indicative of a second agent using said bus, said agent identifier transmitted on said bus as part of a transaction; (col.5, lines 15-37)
- updating said state responsive to said agent identifier. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claims 2, 10 Yang discloses arbiter comprises one or more registers configured to store a state indicative of:

- which of said plurality of agents are higher priority than said first agent are higher priority than said first agent for said arbitration; (col.5, lines 15-37)
- which of said plurality of agents are lower priority than said first agent for said arbitration. (col.7,lines 31-44)

As per claims 3, 11 Yang discloses arbiter further includes a circuit configured to generate a grant signal to said first agent responsive to said plurality of request signals and said state, said grant signal indicative of whether or not said first agent wins said arbitration. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claims 4, 12, 26, Yang discloses circuit is further responsive to said agent identifier to generate said grant signal. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claims 5, 13, 23, Yang discloses arbiter further comprises a circuit configured to update said state responsive to said agent identifier, wherein said circuit is configured to update said state to indicate that said second agent identified by said agent identifier is lower priority than said first agent if said second agent is different than said first agent. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claims 6, 14, 25 Yang discloses circuit is further configured to update said state to indicate that each of said plurality of agents is higher priority than said first agent responsive to said first agent winning said arbitration. (col.7, lines 31-44)

As per claims 7, 15, 27 Yang discloses bus is split transaction bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for an address portion of said bus, and wherein said agent identifier is portion of a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claims 8, 16, 28, 29-30, Yang discloses bus is a split transaction bus and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for a data portion of said bus and wherein said agent identifier is separate from a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 18, Yang discloses updating comprises updating said state to indicate that said second agent is lower priority than said first agent if said second agent is different from said first agent. (col.7, lines 31-44)

As per claim 19, Yang discloses method further comprising :

- receiving a plurality of request signals, each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to respective agent of said plurality of agents and indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus; (col.6, lines 26-65)
- determining if said first agent wins said arbitration responsive to said state and said plurality of request signals. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 20, Yang discloses method determining is further responsive to said agent identifier. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 21, Yang discloses method further comprising updating said state to indicate that each of said plurality of agents is higher priority than said first agent if said first agent wins said arbitration. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 31, Yang discloses a method comprising:

- Receiving a plurality of request signals, each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of a plurality of agents configured to couple to a bus, wherein each of said plurality of request signal is indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus; (col.5, lines 15-37), (col.6, lines 19-65)
- Receiving an agent identifier transmitted on said bus as part of a transaction, said agent identifier identifying a second agent using said bus; and (col.5, lines 15-37)

- Determining if a first agent wins an arbitration for said bus responsive to said plurality of request signals and said agent identifier. (col.5, lines 15-37), (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 32, Yang discloses a carrier medium comprising a data base which is operated upon by a program executable on a computer system, the program operating on the database to perform a portion of a process to fabricate an integrated circuit including circuitry described by the database, the circuitry described in the database including a first agent configured for coupling to a bus to which a plurality of agents are capable of being coupled, said first agent comprising an arbiter coupled to receive a plurality of request signal, (col.4, lines 26-44, 55-63) each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of said plurality of agents, (col.5, lines 15-37) wherein each of said plurality of request signals is indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus, (col.6, lines 19-65) and wherein said arbiter is coupled to receive an agent identifier transmitted on said bus as part of a transaction, said agent identifier identifying a second agent using said bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to determine if said first agent wins an arbitration for said bus responsive to said plurality of request signals and said agent identifier. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 33, Yang discloses wherein said arbiter comprises one or more registers configured to store a state indicative of : (i) which of said plurality of agents are higher priority than said first agent for said arbitrations; (col.5, lines

15-37) and (ii) which of said plurality of agents are lower priority than said first agent for said arbitration. (col.7, lines 31-44)

As per claim 34, Yang discloses wherein said arbiter further includes a circuit configured to generate a grant signal to said first agent responsive to said plurality of request signals and said state, said grant signal indicative of whether or not said first agent wins said arbitration. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 35, Yang discloses wherein said circuit is further responsive to said agent identifier to generate said grant signal. (col.6, lines 26-65)

As per claim 36, Yang discloses wherein said arbiter further comprises a circuit configured to update said state responsive to said agent identifier, wherein said circuit is configured to update said state to indicate that said second agent identified by said agent identifier is lower priority than said first agent if said second agent is different than said first agent. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 37, Yang discloses wherein said circuit is further configured to update said state to indicate that each of said plurality of agents is higher priority than said first agent responsive to said first agent winning said arbitration. (col.7, lines 31-44)

As per claim 38, Yang discloses wherein said bus is a split transaction bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for an address portion of said bus, and wherein said agent identifier is a portion of a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 39, Yang discloses wherein said bus is a split transaction bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for a data portion of said bus, and wherein said agent identifier is separate from a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 40, Yang discloses a carrier medium comprising a database which is operated upon by a program executable on a computer system, the program operating on the database to perform a portion of a process to fabricate an integrated circuit including circuitry described by the database, the circuitry described in the database including an arbiter comprising:

- One or more register configured to store a state indicate of: (i) which of a plurality of agents coupled to a bus are higher priority than a first agent for an arbitration, (col.5, lines 15-37) and (ii) which of said plurality of agents are lower priority than said first agent for said arbitration; and (col.7, lines 31-44)
- A first circuit coupled to receive an agent identifier indicative of a second agent using said bus, said agent identifier transmitted on said bus as part of a transaction, wherein said first circuit is configured to update said state responsive to said agent identifier. (col.5, lines 15-37), (col.6, lines 19-65)

As per claim 41, Yang discloses wherein said first circuit is configured to update said state to indicate that said second agent is lower priority than said first agent if said second agent is different from said first agent. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 42, Yang discloses wherein said arbiter further comprises a second circuit coupled to said one or more registers and coupled to receive a plurality of request signals, each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of said plurality of agents and indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus, and wherein said second circuit is configured to determine if said first agent wins said arbitration responsive to said state and said plurality of request signals. (col.6, lines 19-65)

As per claim 43, Yang discloses wherein said first circuit is configured to update said state to indicate that each of said plurality of agents is higher priority than said first agent responsive to said first agent winning said arbitration. (col.5, lines 15-37), (col.7, lines 31-44)

As per claim 44, Yang discloses wherein said second circuit is configured to determine if said first agent wins said arbitration further responsive to said agent identifier. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 45, Yang discloses wherein said bus is a split transaction bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for an address portion of said bus. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 46, Yang discloses wherein said agent identifier is a portion of a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 47, Yang discloses wherein said bus is a split transaction bus, and wherein said arbiter is configured to arbitrate for a data portion of said bus. (col.5, lines 15-37)

As per claim 48, Yang discloses wherein said agent identifier is separate from a transaction identifier for said transaction. (col.5, lines 15-37)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/06/03 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. *A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) months from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 133).*

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

5. *Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.*

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7249 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2189

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

July 24, 2003



MARK H. PINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100